



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,277	10/29/2003	Todd Hays	074872.0105	6495

31625 7590 10/27/2004

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
PATENT DEPARTMENT
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500
AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039

EXAMINER

PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3671

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/696,277

Applicant(s)

HAYS ET AL.

Examiner

Alexandra K Pechhold

Art Unit

3671



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date filed 10/29/03.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. **Claims 1-3, 8, 9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dillingham (US 6,012,870).**

Regarding claim 1, Dillingham discloses a pavement repair system comprising:

- a vehicle, disclosed in column 3, lines 2-6,
- a hopper on the vehicle, seen as mixing chamber (21),
- at least one flameless heating element, seen as electric immersion heater (59), and
- a generator on the vehicle, disclosed in column 4, lines 10-12.

Regarding claim 2, Dillingham discloses an electric heater (59).

Regarding claim 3, Dillingham discloses that heater (59) is an electric immersion heater in column 4, lines 8-9.

Regarding claim 8, Dillingham discloses commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-14).

Regarding claim 9, the mixing chamber (21) is an enclosed cylinder, which can be viewed as an air jacket.

Regarding claim 18, Dillingham discloses the limitations of the claimed invention as discussed in regards to claims 1 and 9 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870).** Dillingham fails to disclose the maintaining the materials within the hopper between 250-350 or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit. But Dillingham does disclose that commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature of the mixing chamber in Dillingham to be maintained between 250-350 or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit, since Dillingham discloses in column 4, lines 12-15 that commercially available temperature gages are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber, and furthermore, asphalt is heated to a desired temperature based on the application, materials, etc.

5. Claims 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Dillingham (US 5,988,935).

Regarding claim 4, Dillingham '870 fails to disclose two heating elements. Dillingham '870 just discloses the one heating element (59). Dillingham '935 teaches two electric heating elements (25, 27) seen in Fig. 3 as disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single electric heating element of Dillingham '870 to comprise two electric heating elements disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper as taught by Dillingham '935, since Dillingham '935 states in column 6 lines 24-33 that the hopper compartment can be heated more economically with a dry radiant heat source, and thereby having two such heat sources improves the efficiency and economy of the heating process.

Regarding claim 5, Dillingham discloses a 54.75 kw heater in column 4, line 9.

Regarding claim 7, Dillingham '870 fails to disclose a first and second heating element, and maintaining a temperature between 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit. But Dillingham '870 does disclose that commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-15). Dillingham '870 just discloses the one heating element (59). Dillingham '935 teaches two electric heating elements (25, 27) seen in Fig. 3 as disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper above, one being adjacent to a first side of the hopper and the other adjacent a second side of the hopper in Fig. 3. It would

Art Unit: 3671

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single electric heating element of Dillingham '870 to comprise two electric heating elements disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper as taught by Dillingham '935, since Dillingham '935 states in column 6 lines 24-33 that the hopper compartment can be heated more economically with a dry radiant heat source, and thereby having two such heat sources improves the efficiency and economy of the heating process. It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature of the mixing chamber in Dillingham '870 to be maintained between 275-350 degrees Fahrenheit, since Dillingham discloses in column 4, lines 12-15 that commercially available temperature gages are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber, and furthermore, asphalt is heated to a desired temperature based on the application, materials, etc.

6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Kleiger (US 5,419,654).

Regarding claim 10, Dillingham fails to disclose alternate powering by an external power source. Kleiger teaches auxiliary means in the form of electrical heating elements inserted into opposing ends of a heating tube for coupling with external powers such as a 110 V AC source (Col 2, lines 15-18). It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the heating element of Dillingham to alternately have an external power source

Art Unit: 3671

as taught by Kleiger, since an external power source serves as a back-up source of power in the event the on-board generator fails.

Regarding claim 11, a power cord is well-known for supplying power.

7. Claims 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) as applied to claims 1 and 18, respectively, and further in view of Fukukawa et al (US 4,861,189). Dillingham fails to disclose the generator as being hydraulically driven. Fukukawa teaches a hydraulically driven generator in column 3, lines 42-44. It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the generator of Dillingham to be hydraulically driven as taught by Fukukawa, since Fukukawa discloses a paving machine that can operate under a hydraulically driven generator, demonstrating a viable power source for a paving machine.

8. Claims 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) in view of Kleiger (US 5,419,654).

Regarding claim 13, Dillingham discloses the hopper body, flameless heating element and on-board generator as discussed in regards to claim 1 above. Dillingham fails to disclose alternate powering by an external power source. Kleiger teaches auxiliary means in the form of electrical heating elements inserted into opposing ends of a heating tube for coupling with external powers such as a 110 V AC source (Col 2, lines 15-18). It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the heating element of Dillingham to

Art Unit: 3671

alternately have an external power source as taught by Kleiger, since an external power source serves as a back-up source of power in the event the on-board generator fails.

Regarding claim 15, Dillingham discloses that heater (59) is an electric immersion heater in column 4, lines 8-9.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Dillingham fails to disclose the maintaining the materials within the hopper between 250-350 degrees or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit. But Dillingham does disclose that commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature of the mixing chamber in Dillingham to be maintained between 250-350 or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit, since Dillingham discloses in column 4, lines 12-15 that commercially available temperature gages are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber, and furthermore, asphalt is heated to a desired temperature based on the application, materials, etc.

9. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) and Kleiger (US 5,419,654) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Dillingham (US 5,988,935). Dillingham '870 fails to disclose two heating elements. Dillingham '870 just discloses the one heating element (59). Dillingham '935 teaches two electric heating elements (25, 27) seen in Fig. 3 as disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Art Unit: 3671

single electric heating element of Dillingham '870 to comprise two electric heating elements disposed within an air jacket as taught by Dillingham '935, since Dillingham '935 states in column 6 lines 24-33 that the hopper compartment can be heated more economically with a dry radiant heat source, and thereby having two such heat sources improves the efficiency and economy of the heating process.


Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (703) 305-0870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703)308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.


Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

AKP
10/21/04